



In re Application of:

Docket No. 03560.002650

TAKAHISA KAWADE

RECEIVED

Application No.: 09/667,550

OCT 15 2004

Filed: September 22, 2000

Technology Center 2600

Examiner: V. Bali

Group Art Unit: 2623

For: IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS
AND METHOD, AND STORAGE
MEDIUM

Date: October 12, 2004

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Preliminary Amendment and Interview Statement in the above-identified application.

No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	18	MINUS	28	0	x \$9 \$18	0
INDEP. CLAIMS	7	MINUS	8	0	x \$44 \$88	0
Fee for Multiple Dependent claims \$150/\$300						
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT---						0

°Verified Statement claiming small entity status is enclosed, if not filed previously.

- A check in the amount of \$____ is enclosed.
 - Charge \$____ to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.
 - Any prior general authorization to charge an issue fee under 37 C.F.R. 1.18 to Deposit Account No. 06-1205 is hereby revoked. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed.
-
- A check in the amount of \$____ to cover the fee for a ____ month extension is enclosed.
 - A check in the amount of \$____ to cover the Information Disclosure Statement fee is enclosed.
 - Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C., office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our address below.

Anne M. Maher

Attorney for Applicant
Anne M. Maher
Reg. No. 38,231

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

AMM/agm

DC_MAIN 179738v1

03560.002650

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

TAKAHISA KAWADE

Application No.: 09/667,550

Filed: September 22, 2000

For: IMAGE PROCESSING
APPARATUS AND METHOD,
AND STORAGE MEDIUM

) Examiner: V. Bali

) Group Art Unit: 2623

) October 12, 2004

RECEIVED

OCT 15 2004

Technology Center 2600

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner For Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW STATEMENT

Sir:

On October 7, 2004, Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Vikkram Bali regarding the present invention. Applicant's representative wishes to thank the Examiner for allowing Applicant's representative to meet with him, and for the courtesies extended by Examiner Bali during the interview.

Applicant's representative and Examiner Bali discussed U.S. Patent No. 5,821,997 (Kawamura), and U.S. Patent No. 6,453,071 (Ito). The Examiner explained his understanding of the disclosure of those patents. Applicant's representative explained the claimed invention and the distinctions between the claimed invention and the cited art, as Applicant understands them. During the interview, the Examiner focused particularly on Ito, at column 17, lines 2-6, stating:

If the reproduced image data is to be displayed by EVF 12, it is expanded by the compression/expansion unit 7, converted into analog signals by the D/A converter 11, and supplied to EVF 12 to display images.

Applicant's representative pointed out that the paragraph containing that statement related to displaying an image that previously had been recorded on a recording medium in a compressed state. In contrast, Applicant's representative pointed out that the invention of Claim 1 displays the compression-encoded/decoded image data before recording the data on an external recording medium.

Applicant's representative understood the Examiner's position to be that Claim 1 covers an EVF displaying such data even after having been recorded because he considered Claim 1 to require at least a buffer that records the compression-encoded/decoded image data before it is displayed.

As explained in the Remarks to the Preliminary Amendment filed concurrently herewith, such an interpretation impermissibly adds a limitation not recited in the claims. In addition, such a buffer is not an external recording medium as recited in Claim 1.

Applicant's representative also discussed with the Examiner proposed claim amendments, specifically to Claim 1. The Examiner stated that he considered the amendments to present new issues. Accordingly, the Examiner stated that he would not enter such amendments in an Amendment After Final Rejection. The Examiner stated that if the amendments were presented in an amendment filed with a Request for Continued Examination, he would not issue a final Office Action, if he were to reject the claims as amended.

Applicant's representative again thanks the Examiner for his consideration and courtesy during the interview.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C., office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below address.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne M. Maher
Attorney for Applicant
Anne M. Maher
Registration No. 38,231

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

AMM/agm

DC_MAIN 180882v1